

KANSAS—Continued

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted
Rodney Milling Co.: “A” house gallery and tunnel system	Topeka	28–19–50	Do.
“B” & “C” house gallerydo	28–19–50	Do.
Western Alfalfa Corp., alfalfa dehydrator	Deerfield	28–19–20	Do.
Do	Tice	28–19–20	Do.
Pence Food Center, incinerator	Humboldt	28–19–40	Do.
Sherwin-Williams Chemicals, Ozark P.M. Mill	Coffeyville	28–19–50B	Oct. 8, 1974.
Continental Grain Co., rail car loading	Hutchinson	28–19–50	Aug. 15, 1974.
Far-Mar-Co, Inc., headhouse cyclones	Topeka	28–19–50	Sept. 5, 1974.
Do	Hutchinson	28–19–50	Aug. 15, 1974.
Western Iron & Foundry, cupola	Wichita	28–19–20A, 28–19–50A	Oct. 4, 1974.
Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, open burning	Parsons	28–19–45	Jan. 24, 1975.
Reid Grain, headhouse	Goodland	28–19–50	Do.
Sherwin-Williams Chemicals, black ash kiln	Coffeyville	28–19–50A	Do.
Cooperative Farm Chemicals, No. 1 NH ₄ NO ₃ 99-percent evaporator and prilling tower	Lawrence	28–19–20	Mar. 28, 1975.
Sherwin-Williams Chemical Co., ozide calciner exhaust	Coffeyville	28–19–50A	Do.
Kaw Dehydrating Co., alfalfa dehydrator	Lawrence	28–19–20	Do.
Empire Dist. Electric Co.: Boilers Nos. 7 and 8	Riverton	28–19–31C	May 23, 1975.
Gulf Oil Chemicals Co.: Coal-fired boiler	Pittsburg	28–19–31	Do.
Kansas City Power & Light: Main boiler	La Cygne	28–19–31B	Do.
Mid-America Dairyman: Spray dryers Nos. 1 and 2	Sabetha	28–19–20	Do.
Tower Metal Products: Reverberatory furnace C	Fort Scott	28–19–50A	Do.
U.S. Steel—Universal Atlas Cement	Independence
Clay storage bin	28–19–50A	Do.
Stone storage bin	28–19–50A	Do.
Krupp Ball Mill No. 2	28–19–50A	Do.
Krupp Ball Mill No. 3	28–19–50A	Do.

[37 FR 19809, Sept. 22, 1972]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 52.876, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.

§§ 52.877–52.880 [Reserved]

§ 52.881 PM₁₀ State implementation plan development in group II areas.

The state has submitted a committal SIP for Kansas City, Kansas. The committal SIP contains all the requirements identified in the July 1, 1987, promulgation of the SIP requirements for PM₁₀ at 52 FR 24681, except the state will report the PM₁₀ data which exceed the standard within 60 days of the exceedance, rather than 45 days.

[55 FR 1423, Jan. 16, 1990]

§ 52.882 Interstate pollutant transport provisions; What are the FIP requirements for decreases in emissions of nitrogen oxides?

(a)(1) The owner and operator of each source and each unit located in the State of Kansas and Indian country within the borders of the State and for which requirements are set forth under the TR NO_x Annual Trading Program

in subpart AAAAA of part 97 of this chapter must comply with such requirements. The obligation to comply with such requirements with regard to sources and units in the State will be eliminated by the promulgation of an approval by the Administrator of a revision to Kansas' State Implementation Plan (SIP) as correcting in part the SIP's deficiency that is the basis for the TR Federal Implementation Plan under § 52.38(a), except to the extent the Administrator's approval is partial or conditional. The obligation to comply with such requirements with regard to sources and units located in Indian country within the borders of the State will not be eliminated by the promulgation of an approval by the Administrator of a revision to Kansas' SIP.

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, if, at the time of the approval of Kansas' SIP revision described in paragraph (a)(1) of

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.919

this section, the Administrator has already started recording any allocations of TR NO_x Annual allowances under subpart AAAAA of part 97 of this chapter to units in the State for a control period in any year, the provisions of subpart AAAAA of part 97 of this chapter authorizing the Administrator to complete the allocation and recordation of TR NO_x Annual allowances to units in the State for each such control period shall continue to apply, unless provided otherwise by such approval of the State's SIP revision.

(b) [Reserved]

[76 FR 48365, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 52.883 Interstate pollutant transport provisions; What are the FIP requirements for decreases in emissions of sulfur dioxide?

(a) The owner and operator of each source and each unit located in the State of Kansas and Indian country within the borders of the State and for which requirements are set forth under the TR SO₂ Group 2 Trading Program in subpart DDDDD of part 97 of this chapter must comply with such requirements. The obligation to comply with such requirements will be eliminated with regard to sources and units in the State by the promulgation of an approval by the Administrator of a revision to Kansas' State Implementation Plan (SIP) as correcting in part the SIP's deficiency that is the basis for the TR Federal Implementation Plan under § 52.39, except to the extent the Administrator's approval is partial or conditional. The obligation to comply with such requirements with regard to sources and units located in Indian country within the borders of the State will not be eliminated by the promulgation of an approval by the Administrator of a revision to Kansas' SIP.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section, if, at the time of the approval of Kansas' SIP revision described in paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator has already started recording any allocations of TR SO₂ Group 2 allowances under subpart DDDDD of part 97 of this chapter to units in the State for a control period in any year, the provisions of subpart DDDDD of part 97 of this chapter authorizing the Administrator to com-

plete the allocation and recordation of TR SO₂ Group 2 allowances to units in the State for each such control period shall continue to apply, unless provided otherwise by such approval of the State's SIP revision.

[76 FR 48365, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 52.884 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of section 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act, as amended are met; except that:

(1) EPA retains PSD permit authority for Indian lands in the State of Kansas.

[49 FR 48186, Dec. 11, 1984, as amended at 54 FR 15935, Apr. 20, 1989; 58 FR 3848, Jan. 12, 1993]

Subpart S—Kentucky

§ 52.919 Identification of plan-conditional approval.

(a) Kentucky submitted a letter to EPA on July 3, 2012, which includes a commitment to address the State Implementation Plan deficiencies regarding requirements of Clean Air Act sections 110(a)(2)(C) and 110(a)(2)(J) as they both relate to Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) infrastructure requirements for the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) national ambient air quality standards. EPA is conditionally approving Kentucky's schedule to address outstanding requirements promulgated in the New Source Review (NSR) PM_{2.5} Rule related to the PM_{2.5} standard for their PSD program and committing to providing the necessary SIP revision to address these NSR PM_{2.5} Rule requirements. If the Commonwealth fails to submit these revisions by October 3, 2013, the conditional approval will automatically become a disapproval on that date and EPA will issue a finding of disapproval.

(b) Conditional Approval—Submittal from the Commonwealth of Kentucky, through the Division of Air Quality (DAQ) of the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, dated December 19, 2012, to address the Clean Air Act (CAA) sections 110(a)(2)(C), prong 3 of 110(a)(2)(D)(i), and 110(a)(2)(J) for the 2008 8-hour Ozone National Ambient